# Western Number Theory Problems, 16 & 19 Dec 1996

## Edited by Gerry Myerson

for mailing prior to 1997 (Asilomar) meeting

Summary of earlier meetings & problem sets with old (pre 1984) & new numbering.

1967 Berkeley	1968 Berkeley	1969 Asilomar	
1970 Tucson	1971 Asilomar	1972 Claremont	72:01-72:05
1973 Los Angeles	73:01-73:16	1974 Los Angeles	74:01-74:08
1975 Asilomar	75:01-75:23	_	
1976 San Diego	1–65 i.e., 76:01	-76:65	
1977 Los Angeles	101–148 i.e., 77:01	-77:48	
1978 Santa Barbara	151–187 i.e., 78:01	-78:37	
1979 Asilomar	201–231 i.e., 79:01	-79:31	
1980 Tucson	251–268 i.e., 80:01-	-80:18	
1981 Santa Barbara	301–328 i.e., 81:01	-81:28	
1982 San Diego	351–375 i.e., 82:01	-82:25	
1983 Asilomar	401–418 i.e., 83:01-	-83:18	
1984 Asilomar	84:01-84:27	1985 Asilomar	85:01-85:23
1986 Tucson	86:01-86:31	1987 Asilomar	87:01-87:15
1988 Las Vegas	88:01-88:22	1989 Asilomar	89:01-89:32
1990 Asilomar	90:01-90:19	1991 Asilomar	91:01-91:25
1992 Corvallis	92:01-92:19	1993 Asilomar	93:01-93:32
1994 San Diego	94:01-94:27	1995 Asilomar	95:01-95:19
1996 Las Vegas (present set) 96:01–96:18			

[With comment on 95:18]

UPINT(2) =Richard K. Guy, Unsolved Problems in Number Theory, Springer, 1981. (Second edition 1994).

### COMMENTS ON ANY PROBLEM WELCOME AT ANY TIME

Centre for Number Theory Research, MPCE Building E7A, Macquarie University, NSW 2109 Australia.

gerry@mpce.mq.edu.au Australia-2-9850-8952 fax 9850-8114

18 July 97

### Comment on an Earlier Problem

**95:18** (Martin LaBar, via Richard Guy) Is there a  $3 \times 3$  magic square with distinct square entries?

**Remark:** Martin Gardner (Quantum 6:3, Jan-Feb 1996, 24–26) offers \$100 for the solution of this problem.

### Problems Proposed 16 & 19 Dec 96

**96:01** (Bob Silverman, via Sam Wagstaff) Let  $a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_{k-1}$  be positive integers such that congruence considerations do not rule out the existence of infinitely many primes p such that  $p+a_j$  is prime for all  $j, 1 \le j \le k-1$ . Then we call  $(p, p+a_1, \ldots, p+a_{k-1})$  a k-tuple. E.g., for k=2, we have (p, p+2) but also (p, p+4), (p, p+6), etc.

Given a k-tuple, call it C, and define R(C) by  $R(C) = \sum_{p} (\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p+a_1} + \ldots + \frac{1}{p+a_{k-1}})$ , taking the sum over those primes p for which  $p + a_1, \ldots, p + a_{k-1}$  are all prime. Let r(k) be the supremum of R(C) as C ranges over all k-tuples.

- 1. Is it true that r(2) = R((p, p + 6))? It can be shown that R((p, p + 6)) > R((p, p + 2)).
- 2. Given k, how would one determine the k-tuple C that maximizes R(C)? What is the computational complexity of computing r(k) to a given precision?
  - 3. How fast does r(k) go to infinity?
  - 4. Does k exist for which r(k) is rational?
- 5. Are the numbers  $r(2), r(3), \ldots$  algebraically independent? Do there exist distinct k-tuples C, C', possibly corresponding to different values of k, such that R(C) = R(C')?

**Remarks:** 1. There was a suggestion that one might want to expand the notion of k-tuple to include such things as (p, 2p + 1).

- 2. Peter Montgomery asked whether it was easy to see that r(k) is monotone increasing.
- 3. Carl Pomerance defines  $R^*(c) = \sum \frac{1}{p}$ , summing over primes p such that p + c is also prime, and  $r^*(2) = \sup_{c>0} R^*(c)$ . He then proves

**Theorem.** The prime k-tuples conjecture implies  $r^*(2) = \infty$ .

Since  $R((p, p + c)) > R^*(c)$ , and  $r(2) \ge r^*(2)$ , this settles Silverman's first question in the negative, conditional on the prime k-tuples conjecture.

**Proof.** Call a set  $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_k\}$  of integers admissible if for each prime p there is at least one congruence class (mod p) disjoint from B. Let  $p_1 < p_2 < \ldots$  be an infinite sequence of primes such that no  $p_i - 1$  is divisible by any  $p_j$ . Erdős showed that there is such a sequence with  $\sum \frac{1}{p_j} = \infty$  (On a problem of G. Golomb, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 2

(1961/62) 1-8.).

Now for any  $k, p_1, \ldots, p_k$  is admissible. For, if p is not in  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$ , then no  $p_i$  satisfies  $p_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . And if p is in  $\{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$ , then no  $p_i$  satisfies  $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

Assuming the prime k-tuples conjecture, there is a number  $C_k$  such that  $p_1 + C_k, \ldots, p_k + C_k$  are all prime. Then  $R^*(C_k) \ge \sum_{i \le k} \frac{1}{p_i}$ . But  $\sum \frac{1}{p_i} = \infty$ , so  $r^*(2) = \infty$ .

[In fact, the prime k-tuples conjecture implies  $r(m) = \infty$  for all m. For there are numbers  $C_{k1}, \ldots, C_{k,m-1}$  such that  $p_i + C_{kj}$  are all prime,  $1 \le i \le k$ ,  $1 \le j \le m-1$ , whence  $R((p, p + C_{k1}, \ldots, p + C_{k,m-1})) > \sum_{i \le k} \frac{1}{p_i}$ , etc. This settles all of Silverman's questions, conditional on the prime k-tuples conjecture, except for the last part of question 5.]

4. Pomerance goes on to consider, on a suggestion of Vsevolod Lev,  $R'(c) = \sum \frac{1}{p+c}$ , summing over p such that p and p+c are both prime. He proves that  $\sup_c R'(c)$  is finite. By Brun's sieve,

$$\sum_{p, p+c \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{p+c} \ll \frac{c}{\phi(c)} \frac{1}{j^2}$$

$$2^j < p+c < 2^{j+1}$$

uniformly for all c > 0 and all j with  $2^j > c$ . If  $2^j \le c < 2^{j+1}$ , the sum is at most the sum of the reciprocals of all the primes in  $(2^j, 2^{j+1})$ , which is  $\ll 1/\log c$ . If  $2^{j+1} \le c$  then there are no primes p with  $p + c < 2^{j+1}$ . Thus,

$$R'(c) \ll \frac{1}{\log c} + \sum_{2^j > c} \frac{c}{\phi(c)} \frac{1}{j^2} \ll \frac{c}{\phi(c) \log c}.$$

But  $\frac{c}{\phi(c)\log c} \to 0$  as  $c \to \infty$  (this can be deduced from Theorem 328 of Hardy and Wright, 4th ed., which states that  $\liminf_{n} \frac{\phi(n)\log\log n}{n} = e^{-\gamma}$ , a theorem of Landau). Thus R'(c) is bounded, and the supremum is attained at some  $c_0$ .

Pomerance guesses that  $c_0 = 6$  and that in any event the argument above can be made more explicit and  $c_0$  may be found.

5. If it is desired to compute an explicit C such that R((p, p+C)) > R((p, p+6)), then instead of using the Erdős sequence above it may be better to use the greedy admissible sequence 3.5,11,17,23,41,47,53,71,...

**96:02** (Neville Robbins) Let  $H(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{2^n}$ . Can H(z) be expressed in terms of well-known functions?

**Remark:** Pat Morton points out that this function has been studied, e.g., by Mahler in his work on transcendence. A reference is J. H. Loxton and A. J. van der Poorten, Transcendence and algebraic independence by a method of Mahler, in Transcendence Theory—Advances and Applications, A. Baker and D. W. Masser, eds., 211–226.

**Remark:** Dick Katz writes, "H(z) has the unit circle as a natural boundary so that if "well known" only allows functions with isolated singularities, then certainly no rational function of such functions will work. Indeed, I think it is unlikely that any algebraic

function of such functions could have a dense set of singularities on the unit circle. I don't have a proof of this however."

**96:03** (Jon Grantham) Given  $r \ge 1$  and x, how many squarefree, composite n are there up to x such that if p is prime and  $p \mid n$  then  $p^r - 1 \mid n - p$ ? Call the answer  $f_r(x)$ . The work of Alford, Granville, and Pomerance shows that  $f_1(x) >> x^{2/7}$ , while a heuristic argument of Erdős suggests  $f_1(x) >> x^{1-\epsilon}$ .

A heuristic argument of Pomerance would give  $f_2(x) >> x^{1-\epsilon}$ , but no such n is known. How can we find one? many?

For  $r \geq 3$ , what are good heuristics?

The case r=3 relates to Perrin pseudoprimes of type I (no reference supplied).

**Remark:** Without the condition that n be squarefree, there are trivial examples where n is a prime power. There are also non-trivial examples found by Zachary Franco and Peter Montgomery. Noting that  $3^5 \equiv -1 \pmod{5^3-1}$  and  $5^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{3^3-1}$  they find  $n = 3^{10}5^8 \equiv p \pmod{p^3-1}$  for all  $p \mid n$ . Also,  $n = 53 \cdot 5^{36m} \equiv p \pmod{p^2-1}$  for all  $p \mid n$ , and, if m is chosen so that  $\phi(691^3-1) \mid 3m$ , then  $n = 691 \times 7^{3m} \equiv p \pmod{p^3-1}$  for all  $p \mid n$ .

**96:04** (Pal Erdős<sup>†</sup> and Carl Pomerance) Let  $S(n) = \sum_{p^a || n} ap$ . Show  $\sum_{S(n)=S(n+1)} \frac{1}{n}$  converges.

**96:05** (Tom Dence & Carl Pomerance) Suppose a, k are integers with k > 0 such that there exist even numbers m with  $m \equiv a \pmod{k}$ . Are there infinitely many n such that  $\phi(n) \equiv a \pmod{k}$ ?

Dence and Pomerance can show this if there exists an m,  $4 \mid m$ , with  $m \equiv a \pmod{k}$ .

**96:06** (Paul Feit) Let  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ , let n be in  $\mathbf{N}$ , let  $g: \mathbf{F}^n \to \mathbf{F}$  be any function. For i = 0, 1 define permutations  $\alpha_i$  on  $\mathbf{F}^{n+1}$  by  $\alpha_i(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0 + i + g(x_1, \dots, x_n))$ . What is the group generated by  $\{\alpha_0, \alpha_1\}$ ? What groups can appear this way?

If g is linear, the group is a semi-direct product of  $\mathbf{F}^{n+1}$  with a cyclic group.

**96:07** (Vsevolod Lev) Let A be a set of n distinct residues modulo a prime p, with n < p. For z in  $\mathbf{F}_p$ , write  $S_A(z) = \sum_{a \in A} e^{2\pi i a z/p}$ . Let Z be a set of residues (mod p) with  $\#(Z) = m > (1 - \epsilon)p$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . Find a lower bound for  $G_Z = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{z \in Z} |S_A(z)|^2$ . Is it true that  $G_Z \geq 1$ ?

Using  $\prod_{z\neq 0} |S_A(z)|^2 \geq 1$  and the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means, it is easy to prove  $G_Z > n^{-2(p-m)/m}$ ; hence,  $G_Z > n^{-2\epsilon/(1-\epsilon)}$  if  $m > (1-\epsilon)p$ .

**Remark:** If n = 1 then  $G_Z = 1$ , so presumably we should exclude this case.

If n=2 and  $A=\{a,b\}$  then  $G_Z=2+\frac{2}{m}\sum_{z\in Z}\cos 2\pi(a-b)z/p$ , and the assertion is easily verified.

Lev reports that S. Konyagin notes that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any p sufficiently large there exists Z such that  $\#(Z) \geq \frac{p}{2}$  and  $G < \epsilon$ . Thus, the problem should be posed with a

restriction like #(Z) > (.9)p.

The same question can be asked more generally in  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , the field of  $q=p^r$  elements. For  $A\subset \mathbf{F}_q$  and z in  $\mathbf{F}_q$  write  $S_A(z)=\sum_{a\in A}e^{2\pi i(\mathrm{Tr} az)/p}$ , where  $\mathrm{Tr}$  is the trace from  $\mathbf{F}_q$  to  $\mathbf{F}_p$ . Given  $Z\subset \mathbf{F}_q$  with #(Z)=m>(.9)q, find a lower bound for  $G_Z=\frac{1}{m}\sum_{z\in Z}|S_A(z)|^2$ .

**96:08** (Bjorn Poonen, via Ed Schaefer) Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , does there exist a bound B depending only on  $\epsilon$  such that the following is true?

Let  $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r$  be relatively prime positive integers, let  $N = m_1 m_2 \ldots m_r$ . For  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$  let  $S_i$  be a two-element subset of  $\mathbf{Z}/m_i\mathbf{Z}$ . Then

$$\#\{x \text{ in } \mathbf{Z} : 0 \le x \le N^{1-\epsilon} \text{ and } (x \text{ mod } m_i) \in S_i \text{ for all } i \} \le B.$$

Poonen adds the following remarks.

- 1. The same question can be asked for  $\#(S_j) = d$  for any fixed  $d \ge 2$ , with B allowed to depend on d as well as on  $\epsilon$ .
- 2. A positive answer to this question with d=4 would imply the truth of the conjecture that the number of rational preperiodic points of a quadratic polynomial over  $\mathbf{Q}$  is uniformly bounded. Moreover, an explicit bound for the latter could be given, if we had an explicit B above. See P. Morton and J. Silverman, Rational periodic points of rational functions, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (1994) 97–110.
- 3. Andrew Granville has shown that the answer to the question for  $\#(S_j) = d$  is yes if one replaces the exponent  $1 \epsilon$  by  $\frac{1}{d} \epsilon$ .
- **96:09** (John Brillhart) Is it true that a base 2 pseudoprime never divides the primitive part of  $3^n 1$ ? If so, then if  $2^{N-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ , and N is a factor of the primitive part of  $3^n 1$ , then N is prime.

#### **Solution** by Jon Grantham:

Carl Pomerance notes that if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod 4$  is prime and 2p-1 is prime then p(2p-1) is a base 2 pseudoprime. Among the first 20000 such p, 102 have  $\operatorname{ord}_p 3 = \operatorname{ord}_{2p-1} 3$ , each one answering Brillhart's question in the negative. The smallest of these has p=337 (so  $p-1=336=2^4\cdot 3\cdot 7$ ), 2p-1=673, and  $\phi_{168}(3)=337\times 673\times 1009\times 167329\times 2108826721$ .

#### **Solution** by Peter Montgomery:

If  $p \equiv 11 \pmod{12}$  is prime and 2p+1 is prime then 2p+1 divides both  $2^p-1$  and  $3^p-1$ . Also, 50207 divides both  $2^{1931}-1$  and  $3^{1931}-1$ . So  $n=3863\times 50207$  answers Brillhart's question.

John Brillhart remarks that the number of examples found suggests the only problem here is whether the primitive part of  $3^n - 1$  itself is ever a base 2 pseudoprime. It might also be interesting if a Carmichael number ever divided (or was equal to) the primitive part of  $a^N - 1$  for some a > 1.

**96:10** (Gerry Myerson) Estimate LCM{  $2^k - 3 : 1 \le k \le n$  }. Note that  $\log \prod_{k=1}^n (2^k - 3) = \frac{\log 2}{2} n^2 (1 + o(1))$ ; does the same estimate hold for the logarithm of the LCM?

**96:11** (Gerry Myerson) Let f(m) be the smallest odd prime p such that  $p \mid m-2^k$  for some  $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  Prove that f(m) = o(m).

**Remark:** Since  $|m-2^k| \leq \frac{m}{3}$  for some k, we have  $f(m) \leq m/3$ . We also have f(m) < x unless m is divisible by all the primes p < x for which 2 is a primitive root. Expanding on this we can compute, e.g.,  $f(m) \leq 23$  for m < 500000. Perhaps f(m) is bounded by a fixed power of  $\log m$ .

**96:12** (Gary Walsh) Let  $I_S = \{\prod_{j=1}^k p_j^{e_j} : e_j \ge 0\}$ , where  $S = \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$  is a finite set of primes. Let  $D_S = \{(p,q) : p,q \text{ prime and } p-q \in I_S\}$ . Is  $D_S$  infinite? In particular, what if  $S = \{2\}$ ?

**96:13** (Jeff Lagarias) These conjectures are made in Frits Beukers, Consequences of Apery's work on  $\zeta(3)$ , which appeared in  $\zeta(3)$  irrationnel: les retombées, an informal proceedings volume of the Rencontres Arithmétiques de Caen 2–3 June 1995, published by the Equipe Algèbre, Algorithmique, Arithmétique at Caen. Let  $a_n = \sum_{k=0}^n {n+k \choose k}^2 {n \choose k}^2$ . Then  $5^p \mid a_n$ , where p is the number of 1s and 3s in the base 5 notation for n. (Note: it is not claimed that  $5^p || a_n$ ). Also,  $11^q \mid a_n$ , where q is the number of 5s in the base 11 notation for n.

**96:14** (Richard McIntosh, via Gerry Myerson) The largest known prime of the type  $n = (2^{4p}+1)/17$  has p = 317, and n is composite for all primes p such that 317 . Are there any more primes of this type, or is this a large gap?

**96:15** (Bart Goddard, via Gerry Myerson) Given p(z), a polynomial with complex coefficients and degree n, can one find f(z) analytic, or a polynomial of degree at most n/2, or of the form  $\frac{az+b}{cz+d}$ , such that p(f(z)) is a non-constant polynomial with real coefficients, or with all of its roots on the unit circle?

**96:16** (Gerry Myerson) Prove that there is a positive constant c such that

$$\#\{ n \le x : [(4/3)^n] \text{ is composite } \} > cx.$$

There are heuristic arguments supporting much sharper estimates for the number of primes and composites in an initial segment of the sequence  $[(4/3)^n]$ , but the statement above would already be far better than any known result.

**96:17** (Gerry Myerson) Prove that for every non-zero real  $\alpha$  there is a positive integer n (hence, infinitely many n) such that  $[10^n \alpha]$  is composite. Equivalently, show that there is no infinite sequence of primes, each obtained from the previous by tacking a single digit on at the end.

The analogous result has been proved for bases 2 through 6.

**96:18** (Greg Martin) Let p be a prime, let N(p) be the least quadratic non-residue (mod p), and let g(p) be the least primitive root (mod p). Note that  $g(p) \ge N(p)$ .

There are  $\Omega$ -results for N(p) (recall that  $f(x) = \Omega(g(x))$  means  $\limsup \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} > 0$ ).

Unconditionally,  $N(p) = \Omega(\log p \log \log \log p)$  (Graham & Ringrose); on the generalized Riemann Hypothesis,  $N(p) = \Omega(\log p \log \log p)$  (H. Montgomery).

Can one prove a stronger  $\Omega$ -theorem for g(p), conditional or otherwise? Heuristically, one can expect at least  $g(p) = \Omega(\log p(\log \log p)^2)$ .